Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Di- μ -phenylthio-bis[bis(η^5 -methylcyclopentadienyl)(tetrahydrofuran)lanthanum(III)] bis(tetrahydrofuran) solvate

Huanrong Li,^a Yingming Yao,^a Qi Shen^a* and Linhong Weng^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Suzhou University, Suzhou 215006, People's Republic of China, and ^bInstitute of Elemental-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjing 300071, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: qshen@suda.edu.cn

Received 27 June 2000 Accepted 22 March 2001

The title complex, $[La_2(C_6H_7)_4(C_6H_5S)_2(C_4H_8O)_2]\cdot 2C_4H_8O$, is a centrosymmetric dimer bridged through the S atoms of the benzenethiolate ligands. The bridging La_2S_2 unit is completely planar, while the geometry around the nine-coordinate La atom is that of a distorted trigonal bipyramid. The La-S-La and S-La-S angles are 117.51 (4) and 62.5 (1)°, respectively, and the average La-S bond length is 2.9759 Å. The crystals contain two tetrahydrofuran solvate molecules for every complex molecule.

Comment

The synthesis of lanthanide–thiolate compounds is of current interest as these compounds are used in organic synthesis (Taniguchi *et al.*, 1994), as rational molecular precursors to materials (Brewer *et al.*, 1994) and as initiators for syndio-specific polymerization of methyl methacrylate (Nakayama *et al.*, 1996). While studying the catalytic properties of organo-lanthanide thiolates, we obtained di- μ -phenylthio-bis[bis(η^{5} -methylcyclopentadienyl)(tetrahydrofuran)lanthanum(III)]



bis(tetrahydrofuran) solvate, (I), from the reaction of LaCp'₃ (Cp' is η^5 -methylcyclopentadienyl) with benzenethiol in a 1:1 molar ratio in tetrahydrofuran (THF).

The crystal structure determination of (I) demonstrates that the complex is a centrosymmetric binuclear molecule, with the benzenethiolate ligands as bridging groups. The La atom is coordinated by two Cp' rings, two benzenethiolate S atoms and one THF O atom, forming a distorted trigonal-bipyramid geometry, giving the central metal a formal coordination number of nine if the Cp' group is regarded as occupying three coordination sites.

The two La-S distances in (I) are not equal (Table 1) and have an average value of 2.9759 Å, the average being consistent with that found in the related sulfur-bridged complex $[Ce(Me_3CC_5H_4)_2(\mu$ -SCHMe₂)]₂ [2.882 (6) Å; Stults *et al.*, 1990], once the difference in the ionic radii of the metal atoms has been taken into consideration.

The La–S–La angle in (I) [117.51 (4)°] is larger than that found in the complex [Yb(C₅H₅)₂(μ -SCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃)]₂, (II) [94.43 (8)°; Wu *et al.*, 1996]. However, the S–La–S angle in (I) [62.5 (1)°] is much smaller than that found in (II) [85.57 (8)°]. The Cp'_{centroid}–La distances in (I) [2.565 (7) and 2.562 (6) Å] are much longer than those observed for the Cp'_{centroid}–Yb distances in (II) (2.315 and 2.412 Å). These differences may be due to the difference in the ionic radii of the metal atoms in (I) and (II).



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) showing 40% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme [symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z]. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Experimental

Complex (I) was isolated from the reaction of $LaCp'_{3}$ with one equivalent of benzenethiol in THF, followed by crystallization from a toluene–THF solution at 263 K. The complex is very sensitive to air and moisture; therefore, all manipulations were conducted under purified argon using Schlenk techniques. A crystal suitable for analysis was sealed in a thin-walled glass capillary.

metal-organic compounds

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{La}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_7)_4(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{S})_2 - (\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O})_2] \cdot 2\text{C}_4\text{H}_8\text{O} \\ & M_r = 1101.07 \\ & \text{Monoclinic, } P2_1/n \\ & a = 9.1535 (11) \text{ Å} \\ & b = 17.337 (2) \text{ Å} \\ & c = 16.637 (2) \text{ Å} \\ & \beta = 100.031 (2)^\circ \\ & V = 2599.8 (5) \text{ Å}^3 \\ & Z = 2 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.345, T_{max} = 0.498$ 10 479 measured reflections 4585 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.035$ $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ S = 1.074585 reflections 272 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

| La1-C1 | 2.799 (7) | La1-C9 | 2.781 (6) |
|--------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|
| La1-C2 | 2.788 (7) | La1-C10 | 2.817 (6) |
| La1-C3 | 2.810 (6) | La1-C11 | 2.866 (5) |
| La1-C4 | 2.829 (6) | La1-O1 | 2.624 (3) |
| La1-C5 | 2.839 (6) | La1-S1 | 2.9758 (13) |
| La1-C7 | 2.834 (6) | S1-La1 ⁱ | 2.9760 (13) |
| La1-C8 | 2.772 (6) | | |
| | | | |

Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z.

 $D_x = 1.406 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 6888 reflections $\theta = 4.7-52.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.74 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K Prismatic, colourless $0.70 \times 0.45 \times 0.40 \text{ mm}$

3679 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.025$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 20$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 19$ Intensity decay: 5.9%

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0450P)^{2} + 3.4381P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.003$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.63 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.58 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* Extinction coefficient: 0.0025 (3)

H atoms were included in calculated positions (C–H = 0.93-0.98 Å) but not refined.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997*a*); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997*b*); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province and the Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry of the Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for financial support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: VJ1111). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Brewer, M., Khasnis, D., Buretea, M., Berardini, M., Emge, T. J. & Brennan, J. G. (1994). *Inorg. Chem.* 33, 2743–2747.

- Bruker (1997). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Nakayama, Y., Shibahara, T., Fukumoto, H. & Nakamura, A. (1996). Macromolecules, 29, 8014–8016.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Version 5.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Stults, S. D., Anderson, R. A. & Zalkin, A. (1990). Organometallics, 9, 1623– 1629.
- Taniguchi, Y., Maruo, M., Takaki, K. & Fujiwara, Y. (1994). Tetrahedron Lett. 35, 7789–7792.
- Wu, Z. Z., Cai, R. F., Zhou, X. G., Xu, Z., You, X. Z. & Huang, X. Y. (1996). J. Organomet. Chem. 506, 25–30.